1	PROPOSED BY: BROWNE, DONOVAN and SIDHU
2	INTRODUCTION DATE: January 29, 2019
3	
4 5	RESOLUTION NO
6	RESOLUTION NO
7	REQUESTING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE PROVIDE STAFF RESOURCES AND FUNDING
8	TO ALLOW CASCADIA LAW GROUP TO COMPLETE CONTRACT NO. 201708008
9	RELATED TO LEGAL WAYS THE COUNTY MAY CHOOSE TO LIMIT THE NEGATIVE
10	IMPACTS ON PUBLIC SAFETY, TRANSPORTATION, THE ECONOMY, AND
11	ENVIRONMENT FROM CRUDE OIL, COAL, LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GASES, AND
12	NATURAL GAS TRANSSHIPMENTS FROM THE CHERRY POINT UGA
13	
14	
15	WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.020 (Planning goals) requires legislators to balance
16	multiple goals including (5) Economic development, and (10) Environment; and
17	
18	WHEREAS, the Council has significant concerns about protecting our environment,
19 20	has spoken extensively about the need to limit the ability of the existing facilities being converted into unrefined fossil fuel transshipment facilities because of the opportunity for
20 21	the significant health, safety and transportation impacts that would result if the amount of
22	unrefined fossil fuels transiting Whatcom County was to increase significantly; and
23	an ennea ressi racis transting whatcom county was to morease significantly, and
24	WHEREAS, the Council recognizes that the existing refineries have made recent
25	investments in facilities such as renewable fuel production and blending-which it hopes will
26	continue; and
27	
28	WHEREAS, the Council is committed to preserving the thousands of family wage
29	jobs of those employed by the refineries and their contractors while the transition from
30	refined fossil fuels to renewables is underway; and
31 32	WHEREAS, the Council has frequently expressed that one of its key concerns about
32 33	increased transshipments of unrefined fossil fuels is the resulting increase in vessel traffic
34	within the waters of Whatcom County that would expose critical species such as herring,
35	salmon and Southern Resident Orca whales to additional risks. The county wants to reduce
36	the risk of spills and collisions with marine mammals by preventing the increase in Puget
37	Sound tanker traffic that would occur if regional demand had to be augmented with refined
38	fuels brought in from other, likely less efficient and environmentally responsible refineries
39	from other parts of the world – by ensuring the refineries at Cherry Point can continue to
40	meet the demands of regional markets,.
41	
42	WHEREAS, in the 2017 budget the County allocated \$150,000 for legal assistance
43	and contracted to spend \$75,000 with Cascadia Law Group to undertake items (1) and (2)
44 45	in the Scope of Work as provided in EXHIBIT "A"; and
45	WHEREAS, the first and second tasks were completed, but the third <i>"developing</i>
47	proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments and associated code and rule amendments for
48	<i>Council consideration</i> ", was not and the relevant budget allocation has since expired, and
49	
50	
51	

WHEREAS, lacking the resources to undertake its own research, councilmembers
 with assistance from third parties, produced a preliminary draft containing proposed
 changes to the County Comprehensive Plan and County Code, which could have unintended
 consequences; and

6 WHEREAS, the Council has expressed goals for a more robust permitting, SEPA and 7 EIS processes related to new and expanded fossil fuel facilities in the County Council's and 8 October 9, 2018 and January 15, 2019 proposals; and 9

WHEREAS, the County Council has summited draft Comprehensive Plan, Title 20,
 Title 22, and Tile 16 language related to new and expanded fossil fuel facilities at Cherry
 Point on January 15, 2019 for the Planning Commission to consider; and

WHEREAS, the County Council recognizes the Council's proposal would benefit from additional legal review and recommendations for Comprehensive Plan and Code language, per the Scope of Work with Cascadia Law Group [Exhibit A], particularly with regard to proposed language affecting waivers and exemptions from conditional use permitting that may be too restrictive; and

WHEREAS, the County Council also recognizes the final language should, without delay, allow minor projects associated with fossil fuel facilities, such as office expansion, parking lots, regular maintenance, equipment replacement, accessory buildings, safety upgrades, radio communications facilities, storage buildings, guard buildings, etc. that do not expand capacity for fossil fuel shipments, processing, storage, and emissions at existing fossil fuel facilities, nor result in substantive changes in uses of existing fossil fuel facilities; and

WHEREAS, the County Council respectfully recognizes that the County Executive
and a majority of the Council have different perspectives on changes to regulations related
to Cherry Point, nonetheless the Council believes it is in the best interests of the community
to bring this issue to a conclusion sooner rather than later.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that in order for the Council to bring this issue to a speedy conclusion the Council respectfully requests that the County Executive approve up to an additional \$40,000 of the \$75,000 remaining of the original budget allocation for the Cascadia Law Group to work with the Planning and Development Services department as it assists the Council in its deliberations; and

39 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that Planning and Development Services (PDS) be 40 instructed to work with Cascadia Law Group to provide the County Council with draft 41 Comprehensive Plan and code language that addresses each of the following issues, and 42 where possible identifies and uses established code language from other jurisdictions, to be 43 forwarded to the Planning Commission, that:

- a. Prohibits additional new fossil fuel refineries in Cherry Point beyond the existing British Petroleum, Phillips 66 and Petrogras facilities as our community has already taken on "our fair share" of the public health, safety and environmental risks associated with fossil fuel facilities and does not deserve any additional increase in risk that new facilities would bring; and
- 49 50

45

46

47

48

1 2 3	b.	Prohibits any new crude oil transshipment facilities that have any other purpose other than suppling raw materials to the existing refineries; and
4 5 6	C.	Prohibits conversion of any existing refinery into a facility primarily serving as a crude oil transshipment facility; and
7 8 9 10 11	d.	Allows expansion of existing refining capacity in proportion to certain criteria, such as a to a rolling five year projection of the combined regional population growth of Washington State and British Columbia as determined by their respective published government forecasts; and
12 13 14 15 16	e.	Considers requiring an initial and updated greenhouse gas analysis each time a refinery and/or storage capacity of an existing facility is expanded by more than one (1) percent over the baseline ("Baseline") as of the date code changes are adopted; and
	f.	Requires identification of "Facility Emissions" which are defined as the greenhouse gas emissions associated with local fossil fuel facilities, including but not limited to
20 21 22 23 24		I. the transportation within the borders of Whatcom County of refined and unrefined fossil fuels to and from a facility located within the Cherry Point Heavy industrial area, and
25 26 27		II. the refining and processing of fossil fuels located within the Cherry Point Heavy industrial area; and
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	g.	At a minimum require local mitigation of (such as carbon offset programs that are deployed within Whatcom County's borders) Facility Emissions, above the Baseline to compensate for the climate changes caused by such emissions including but not limited to: dryer summers, reduced rainfall and snow pack, increased forest fires and reduced habitat for wildlife (especially endangered species). Which collectively are degrading our quality of life, impacting the economic viability of our fishing, forestry, recreational and agricultural industries, significantly affecting summer air quality that is in turn increasing health care costs and health risks, especially for the young and elderly. While also considering the prospects for mitigating the broader consequences of greenhouse gas emissions; and
40 41 42 43 44	h.	Considers credits for the Net Positive environmental impacts of modifications to facilities such as programs such as energy recovery from animal waste and when calculating carbon offset obligations. "Net Positive" shall mean the net impact after factoring in:
44 45 46 47		I. fossil fuel inputs for transportation, as well as reduced landfill use and methane emissions in the case of animal or plant waste; and
48 49 50		II. fossil fuel inputs, environmental degradation, habit loss ect. in the case of biofuels such as palm oil or corn grown for bio fuels; and

1 i. Aims to reduce the opportunity for the significant transportation, health and 2 safety risks to the community that would likely occur should the existing 3 refineries be converted to crude oil transshipment facilities by prohibiting the construction of additional fossil fuel storage tanks above the current ratio of 4 5 Storage Capacity to Refining Capacity in existence as the date code changes are adopted. For this section "Storage Capacity" is defined as total volume of all 6 tanks at a facility and "Refining Capacity" is defined as the average monthly 7 8 volume of refining, in the preceding calendar year; and 9 10 j. Considers that any expansion in storage or refining capacity greater than one (1) percent above Baseline shall require ongoing identification of all responsible 11 parties involved in the transportation of crude and refined fossil fuels, the storage 12 13 and refining of such, and proof of insurance great enough to cover any 14 "Reasonable Worst Case Scenario" that could occur within the borders of Whatcom County. The insurance shall be required for as long as the particular 15 refinery is operating and shall be increased annually to reflect any increase in the 16 17 Consumer Price Index; and 18 19 k. Recognizes that the term "Reasonable Worst Case Scenario" shall mean the derailment and subsequent explosion, fire and extensive contamination of air, 20 21 soil, marine environments, all local public and private infrastructure, including but 22 limited to roads, buildings, parks and sewer systems. The scenario shall assume 23 the event occurs in high wind conditions, during an earthquake, in the downtown core of Bellingham, involves a train of maximum possible operating length train, 24 travelling three times faster than normal, fully loaded with the most volatile 25 cargo transported to or from Cherry Point, transported in the least safe tankers in 26 27 use anywhere in North America, that the cleanup shall take a minimum of ten 28 years, require the relocation of all businesses and residents within a minimum of 29 a five mile radius and include the cost of fully compensating all the individuals 30 and businesses directly and indirectly affected. Please note this scenario is in direct proportion to what happened during the Lac-Mégantic rail disaster which 31 32 involved a train of less than maximum size that occurred in Quebec, Canada on 33 July 6, 2013 and which five years on the community has yet to recover from. 34 35 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning and Development department be instructed to ensure any changes to the county code do NOT cause any of the following: 36 37 38 1. Unnecessarily delay the implementation of future safety upgrades that if not made could potentially place the workers or environment at any risk. 39 40 2. Unnecessarily delay improvements that would have a positive impact on climate 41 42 change, such as increased efficiency, reduced pollution or greenhouse gas 43 emissions; and 44 3. "Catch 22's" where the County withholds permits until other agencies have issued 45 46 theirs, such as the Army Core of Engineers which will traditionally refuse to issue 47 a permit until the local government has approved the project; and 48 49

1 2 3	Permit "CUP" for minor projects, k	Contradictory language such as providing exemptions from the Conditional Use Permit "CUP" for minor projects, but which later language then forbids being issued because they are located in Cherry Point or are related to fossil fuels.		
4 5	ADOPTED this day of	, 2019.		
6 7 8 9 10	ATTEST:	WHATCOM COUNTY COUNCIL WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON		
11 12	Dana Brown-Davis, Clerk of the Council	Rud Browne, Council Chair		
13 14 15 16 17	WHATCOM COUNTY EXECUTIVE APPROVED AS TO FORM:	WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON		
18 19 20	Civil Deputy Prosecutor	Jack Louws, County Executive		
21		() Approved () Denied		
22 23		Date Signed:		
24				

1	EXHIBIT "A"
2	(SCOPE OF WORK)
3	
4	The Contractor shall represent Whatcom County and assist in (1) examining existing
5	County laws including those related to public health, safety, development, building, zoning,
6	permitting, electrical, nuisance, and fire codes and develop recommendations for legal ways
7	the County may choose to limit the negative impacts on public safety, transportation, the
8	economy, and environment from crude oil, coal, liquefied petroleum gases, and natural gas
9	exports from the Cherry Point UGA above levels in existence as of March 1, 2017; (2)
10	providing clear guidance to current and future county councils on the County's legal rights,
11	responsibilities and limitations regarding interpretation and application of project evaluation
12	under Section 20. 88. 130 (Major Projects Permits) of the Whatcom County Code; and (3)
13	based on the above study, developing proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments and
14	associated code and rule amendments for Council consideration as soon as possible.