



# **Whatcom County Comprehensive Plan**

## **Population and Employment: Growth Projections and Preliminary Allocations**

Technical Report

Prepared by: Leland Consulting Group

May 22, 2024

## Contents

Introduction .....	3
Countywide Population.....	3
OFM Historical and Projected Population Growth .....	4
Historical and Projected Population Growth Rates .....	6
Comprehensive Plan Population Growth Alternatives .....	7
Allocation of Population to UGAs .....	9
Historical Population Estimates by UGA.....	9
Technical Allocations of Countywide Growth to UGAs.....	10
Age Cohort Analysis .....	11
Countywide Employment Trends .....	14
Employment by Industry.....	15
Projecting Countywide Employment Growth .....	16
Technical Allocation of Employment Growth to UGAs.....	19
Appendix: Population & Employment Allocations, Select Years .....	23

## Introduction

The Whatcom County Department of Planning and Development Services, in coordination with the cities in Whatcom County, is engaged in a multi-year project to update the Whatcom County Comprehensive Plan and conduct an urban growth area (UGA) review by 2025, as required by the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA). An initial step in this process is to develop a reasonable set of projections of future growth in population and economic activity and allocations of where this growth will occur. These projections and allocations of growth are foundational inputs that will inform many aspects of the comprehensive planning process over the next few years.

Projections and allocations of population and employment will be developed using a two-step process. The first step is to develop technical projections and allocations based on existing forecasts, historical trends, and additional data analysis. The second step is to make adjustments to the technical allocations based on local plans, special circumstances, and other policy considerations. This technical memo addresses the first step in the process – to establish 20-year technical projections of population and employment and then distribute this growth to UGAs and areas outside UGAs.


Using these technical projections as a starting point, city and County representatives will then collaborate to make policy-based adjustments to the technical projections and allocations of growth. It is anticipated that final projections and allocations of growth will be adopted by the Whatcom County Council and city councils in 2025. The specific elements documented in this technical memo include the following:

- Countywide projections of population and employment.
- Allocations of population and employment to UGAs and lands outside of UGAs.
- Trend analysis of Whatcom County age cohorts

## Countywide Population

The Washington Office of Financial Management (OFM) updates County and state long-range population forecasts every five years to support Growth Management Act planning. The most recent forecasts out to 2050 were issued in 2022 and are shown in Figure 1. OFM considers the middle projection the most likely because it is based on assumptions that have been validated with past and current information. The high and low projections represent the range of uncertainty that should be considered when using these projections for planning purposes.

**Figure 1: Whatcom County Population, 1990-2045**



Source: Office of Financial Management (OFM) historical data and projections from 2022

The middle projection issued in 2022 reflects slightly decreased growth expectations relative to OFM’s 2012 forecasts, with 2020 to 2040 forecasted growth now estimated to be approximately 1.6% lower than in that previous forecast. The 2012-issued low forecast for 2040, on the other hand, was *increased* by 9% in the 2022 series forecast. That 2012 low forecast had been conservative in part because the nation and region had yet to show convincing signs of recovery from the Great Recession, so it was unclear when a recovery would occur and how fully growth would resume once it did.

The high projection scenario had been fairly aggressive in the 2012 forecasts – partly in recognition of the impressive rates of growth that had immediately preceded the recession. By the time of the 2022 series forecast, it was clear that those high scenario growth rates were unlikely to resume and the 2040 high scenario projection was accordingly reduced by 9% to be more realistic – shifting from a 2040 population of 350,000 in the 2012 high forecast to approximately 319,000 in the 2022 updated model.

### OFM Historical and Projected Population Growth

Population growth is driven by three components of change: births, deaths, and migration. The difference of births minus deaths is considered the natural component of change (or natural increase), and net migration (the difference in in-migration and out-migration) is considered the migration component of change. Exhibit 2 shows that in both Whatcom County and Washington State, the net migration component has been and is expected to be larger than the natural component of population increase.

Whatcom County has historically had a larger percentage of its population growth come from net migration than the State. That gap was largest in the early 2000s, when 80% of Whatcom County’s growth was due to migration, versus just 52% of statewide growth. The gap narrowed during the Great

Recession. From 2010 to 2015, the County attributed just 57% of its growth to migration, almost as low as the 52% recorded statewide.

**Figure 2: Components of Population Change, 1990-2045**

		Whatcom County				Washington State			
		Net Migration	Natural Increase	Total Population Growth	Percent Migration	Net Migration	Natural Increase	Total Population Growth	Percent Migration
<b>Estimates</b>	1990-95	17,819	4,343	22,162	80%	327,599	202,307	529,906	62%
	1995-00	12,858	4,026	16,884	76%	316,328	181,246	497,574	64%
	2000-05	14,475	3,665	18,140	80%	227,948	176,706	404,654	56%
	2005-10	11,975	4,199	16,174	74%	222,185	203,558	425,743	52%
	2010-15	5,117	3,859	8,976	57%	198,862	183,587	382,449	52%
	2015-20	14,445	2,286	16,731	86%	444,774	154,547	599,321	74%
<b>OFM Forecast (Mid)</b>	2020-25	11,768	1,706	13,474	87%	300,650	93,424	394,074	76%
	2025-30	11,042	2,795	13,837	80%	277,685	124,695	402,380	69%
	2030-35	12,415	889	13,304	93%	293,530	88,218	381,748	77%
	2035-40	13,408	-595	12,813	105%	303,364	60,597	363,961	83%
	2040-45	13,558	-1,119	12,439	109%	306,500	43,624	350,124	88%
	<i>10-yr Trend</i>	2010-20	9,781	3,073	12,854	76%	321,818	169,067	490,885
<i>20-yr Trend</i>	2000-20	11,503	3,502	15,005	77%	273,442	179,600	453,042	60%
<i>30-yr Trend</i>	1990-20	12,782	3,730	16,511	77%	289,616	183,659	473,275	61%

Source: OFM - Forecasting & Research, December 2022

Note: The percentage of total growth from migration exceeds 100% from 2035 to 2045 because natural increase is negative

The OFM forecasts assume a gradually decreasing natural component of population growth largely due to growth in elderly population, a trend explored further in the Age Cohort Analysis section of this report. The migration component of population change tends to be more volatile than the natural increase component. Major economic, social, or policy changes can generate spurts or slowdowns in migration that are difficult to predict. The Office of Financial Management cites uncertainty about the pace of economic recovery and possible changes in U.S. immigration policy as factors that could affect migration trends in the future.

The 2022 OFM forecasts do not attempt to predict the timing or magnitude of major long-term migration shifts but OFM demographers do track migration closely for future forecast updates. From 2015 to 2020, as the Pacific Northwest was booming and attracting migrants from across the U.S. and abroad, migration had rebounded to account for 86% of Whatcom growth and 74% of statewide growth.

Informed in part by this components-of-change analysis, OFM produces the three future growth scenarios (low, middle, high) depicted in Figure 1 and summarized below along with average annual growth totals and average annual percentage rates of growth.

## Historical and Projected Population Growth Rates

Figure 3: Historical and Projected Population Growth Rates, 1990-2045

	Whatcom County			Washington State		
	Population	Avg. Annual Growth	Avg. Annual Rate	Population	Avg. Annual Rate	
<b>Historical</b>	1995	149,950		5,397,039		
	2000	166,834	3,377	5,894,613	1.8%	
	2005	184,974	3,628	6,299,267	1.3%	
	2010	201,148	3,235	6,725,010	1.3%	
	2015	210,124	1,795	7,107,459	1.1%	
	2020	226,855	3,346	7,706,780	1.6%	
<b>OFM Forecast (Low)</b>						
	2025	225,902	-191	-0.1%	7,530,451	-0.5%
	2030	234,679	1,755	0.8%	7,765,833	0.6%
	2035	242,954	1,655	0.7%	7,982,666	0.6%
	2040	250,769	1,563	0.6%	8,183,532	0.5%
	2045	258,231	1,492	0.6%	8,371,969	0.5%
<b>OFM Forecast (Middle)</b>						
	2025	240,321	2,693	1.2%	8,100,854	1.0%
	2030	254,158	2,767	1.1%	8,503,234	1.0%
	2035	267,462	2,661	1.0%	8,884,982	0.9%
	2040	280,275	2,563	0.9%	9,248,943	0.8%
	2045	292,714	2,488	0.9%	9,599,067	0.7%
<b>OFM Forecast (High)</b>						
	2025	259,547	6,538	2.7%	8,748,039	2.6%
	2030	279,846	4,060	1.5%	9,406,820	1.5%
	2035	299,569	3,945	1.4%	10,043,320	1.3%
	2040	318,762	3,839	1.2%	10,660,617	1.2%
	2045	337,551	3,758	1.2%	11,262,964	1.1%

Source: OFM - Forecasting & Research, December 2022

- From 1995 to 2010, the Whatcom County population grew at a faster rate than the state of Washington as a whole. After 2010, however, statewide population growth has been slightly higher on an average annual percentage basis. From 2015 to 2020, the state grew at 1.6% per year, versus 1.5% for Whatcom County.
- Looking forward, the OFM population projections – in each of the low, middle, and high scenarios – assume that Whatcom County will return to a slightly faster annual growth rate versus the statewide average, with that gap between state and County held steady at one to two tenths of a percent.
- By 2045, the horizon year for the Comprehensive Plan update, Whatcom County’s population is anticipated to reach 292,714 in the middle scenario. The low and high scenarios for 2045 range from 258,231 to 337,551, respectively.

- After 2025, growth statewide and in Whatcom County is projected to gradually decline in both percentage rate and in absolute annual additions. This slow deceleration is largely due to declining birth rates and rising death rates. Differences in assumed annual net in-migration account for most of the difference between low, mid, and high scenarios.
- Although almost 10% more ambitious than the 2012 set of OFM low projections, the latest low scenario forecast for Whatcom County remains quite conservative. The fastest future growth period (2025-2030) is projected to grow at a rate of 0.77%, lower than any 5-year period in Whatcom's modern history. The lowest prior recorded average growth rate over five years was from 2010 to 2015, following the Great Recession, when growth managed just 0.88% annually. In fact, even the middle forecast series averages just 1.0% annually overall through 2045, well below the 1.7% pace for the comparable historical timeframe.

## Comprehensive Plan Population Growth Alternatives

OFM demographers are generally thorough and consistent in their forecasting of population at the state and county levels, relying on widely accepted age cohort, fertility, and death rate data as inputs to a components-of-change model that then factors in migration tendencies based largely on broad economic and employment flows. The OFM middle projection is considered the most likely future and can safely be treated as a baseline assumption for the trajectory of Countywide growth for the present Comprehensive Plan update work underway in the County.

The OFM low and high projections generally represent well-reasoned attempts to capture the range of possible growth scenarios that may result in slower or faster population increase than baseline projections. Following the precedent used in the 2016 Whatcom County forecast work, we have determined that the OFM high-low range may represent outcomes that are both unlikely and too divergent for practical planning purposes. We have accordingly made minor adjustments to effectively tighten the forecast range. Figure 4 shows these alternative projections and how they compare to the OFM projections developed in 2022.

The alternatives were developed using the following rationale:

- **Middle projection.** No adjustments were made to the OFM middle projection, which is considered the most likely future. The OFM middle projection forecasts a slower annual growth rate between 2023-2045 (1.0%) than was experienced over the past 25 years (1.7% annually between 1995-2020). That slowdown is a reflection of steadily dropping fertility rates, rising death rates, and uncertain net migration.
- **Low projection.** The OFM low projection assumes growth rates much lower than historical averages. Over the past 30 years, there has not been a five-year period with an average annual growth rate as low as the 0.4% annual rate OFM is projecting between 2023 and 2045. In the early-mid 1980s, five-year annual average growth rates slipped to 1.2%, and most recently the annual average growth rate between 2010 and 2015 was 0.8% during that post-recession period.

The proposed alternative low projection results in a 2045 population of about 274,000. It is based on an assumption that migration will be 30% less than under the middle projection, and the natural component is the same as the middle projection. The unadjusted OFM low scenario resulted in total absolute growth that was 61% lower than the middle scenario. In contrast, after adjustment, the low scenario yields total horizon period growth that is just 33% lower than the OFM middle.

- High projection.** The OFM high projection assumes an annual growth rate (1.7%) that is somewhat higher than the 2010-2020 growth rate (1.2%) the County has experienced most recently, and yields a 2045 population of about 338,000. The alternative high projection results in a 2045 population of about 322,000. It is based on an assumption that the annual migration component will be approximately 50% higher than under the middle projection, and the natural increase component is the same as in the middle projection. After adjustment, the reduced high scenario results in absolute growth that is 51% above the middle scenario total growth, compared to the unadjusted OFM high growth total that was 79% higher than the middle scenario.

The smaller magnitude of adjustment used for the high projection versus the low reflects an intentional decision, based on the idea of preserving more of a conservative approach to infrastructure planning – helping to avoid a scenario where infrastructure expansion fails to keep pace with higher-than-expected growth (or even constrains growth despite strong demand).

The table below shows growth figures, both adjusted and unadjusted, for the specific starting and horizon years used in the plan update (2023 to 2045). The chart following the table uses even 5-year breaks to illustrate the differences in trajectory for the adjusted low and high scenarios relative to the original OFM projections.


**Figure 4: Population Forecast Ranges, OFM vs. Adjusted, 2023-2045**

	Projected						
	2023 Population	2045, OFM	2045, Adjusted	Total Growth (Adjusted)	CAGR (Adjusted)	Total Growth (OFM)	OFM CAGR
<b>low</b>	235,800	258,231	274,065	38,265	0.69%	22,431	0.41%
<b>middle</b>	235,800	292,714	292,714	56,914	0.99%	56,914	0.99%
<b>high</b>	235,800	337,551	321,702	85,902	1.42%	101,751	1.64%

Source: OFM - Forecasting & Research, December 2022; and Leland Consulting Group  
CAGR is the Compound Annual Growth Rate



**Figure 5: Revised Population Forecast Ranges, Whatcom County**



Source: OFM - Forecasting & Research, December 2022; and Leland Consulting Group  
 Note: P means projection. For example "p2045" is the projected population in the year 2045.

## Allocation of Population to UGAs

After establishing a range of Countywide growth projections, the next step is to allocate future growth to UGAs. The process to develop technical allocations involves analysis of historical trends in population growth by UGA and assigning future growth based on these trends. The technical allocations will be used as a starting point for collaboration between the County and cities to make adjustments based on local plans, special circumstances, and other policy considerations.

## Historical Population Estimates by UGA

Historical estimates of population by urban growth areas are shown in the table below. The estimates are based on current 2023 UGA boundaries. Non-UGA areas within the County are combined and treated as a separate "all other" geography so that totals sum to the Countywide figure. Figure 7 shows population for each UGA in 2013 and 2023, along with absolute growth between those two years. The final column shows each UGA's share of standing Countywide population in 2023, followed by the UGA share of Countywide growth over that ten year period. The share of County population can be interpreted as essentially a given UGA's share of growth across the history of the County.

Bellingham, the primary city and UGA in Whatcom County, has a recent share of growth (42%) that is only slightly lower than its share of standing Countywide population (45%). Other UGAs such as Blaine, Ferndale, and Lynden have a 10-year growth share that is considerably higher than their share of standing population, reflecting more recent growth pressures. Fully 30% of the County's population can

be found in rural areas outside the ten official UGA geographies, although those areas account for just 19% of recent growth. That decreasing dependence on non-UGA areas for housing the County’s growing population is consistent with the State of Washington’s big-picture growth management policy and the concept of an urban growth boundary in general.

**Figure 6: Historical Population Growth and Growth Share by UGA, 2013-2023**

UGA	Pop. 2013	Pop. 2023	Growth 2013 to 2023	Average Annual % Growth	Share of County Population 2023	Share of 10-yr. County Growth
Bellingham	92,915	105,529	12,614	1.3%	44.8%	42.4%
Birch Bay	7,698	8,908	1,210	1.5%	3.8%	4.1%
Blaine	5,277	6,728	1,451	2.5%	2.9%	4.9%
Cherry Point	35	61	26	5.7%	0.0%	0.1%
Columbia Valley	3,060	3,577	517	1.6%	1.5%	1.7%
Everson	2,683	3,171	488	1.7%	1.3%	1.6%
Ferndale	12,962	16,762	3,800	2.6%	7.1%	12.8%
Lynden	13,208	16,696	3,487	2.4%	7.1%	11.7%
Nooksack	1,389	1,573	184	1.3%	0.7%	0.6%
Sumas	1,445	1,810	365	2.3%	0.8%	1.2%
Areas outside UGAs	65,349	70,985	5,637	0.8%	30.1%	18.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>206,021</b>	<b>235,800</b>	<b>29,779</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Source: OFM – Small Area Estimate Program (SAEP), December 2023; and Leland Consulting Group

Unless individual jurisdictions foresee significant changes to their local growth policies or confidently anticipate major shifts in the locational preferences of the homebuilding market, the more recent 10-year share-of-growth figure is assumed here to be the most appropriate numerical factor to use for apportioning out the forecasted County-level growth across Whatcom’s smaller planning geographies.

**Technical Allocations of Countywide Growth to UGAs**

The following table show how that allocation plays out across UGAs under the low, middle, and high forecast scenarios for the County. As per the discussion in the previous section, the adjusted Countywide growth projected for 2023 to 2045 is 38,265 for the low, 56,914 for middle, and 82,902 for the high scenarios. These totals are multiplied by the recent share-of-growth percentages for each UGA, as shown below.

Figure 7: Technical Allocations of Adjusted Countywide Population Growth to UGAs, 2023 to 2045

UGA	Allocation Share	Allocated Growth by Scenario (2023 to 2045)			Average Annual % Growth (2023 to 2045)		
		Low	Middle	High	Low	Middle	High
Bellingham*	<b>42.5%</b>	16,242	24,158	36,462	0.7%	0.9%	1.4%
Birch Bay	<b>4.1%</b>	1,555	2,313	3,490	0.7%	1.1%	1.5%
Blaine	<b>4.9%</b>	1,865	2,774	4,186	1.1%	1.6%	2.2%
Cherry Point*	-	-	-	-	2.0%	2.7%	3.7%
Columbia Valley	<b>1.7%</b>	665	988	1,492	0.8%	1.1%	1.6%
Everson	<b>1.6%</b>	627	933	1,408	0.8%	1.2%	1.7%
Ferndale	<b>12.8%</b>	4,883	7,262	10,961	1.2%	1.6%	2.3%
Lynden	<b>11.7%</b>	4,481	6,665	10,060	1.1%	1.5%	2.2%
Nooksack	<b>0.6%</b>	237	352	531	0.6%	0.9%	1.3%
Sumas	<b>1.2%</b>	468	697	1,052	1.1%	1.5%	2.1%
Areas outside UGAs	<b>18.9%</b>	7,243	10,773	16,260	0.4%	0.6%	0.9%
<b>Total Population Growth</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>38,265</b>	<b>56,914</b>	<b>85,902</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>

Source: Leland Consulting Group, based on OFM – Small Area Estimate Program (SAEP), December 2023 .

Note: Although the Cherry Point UGA did account for a very small percentage (0.1%) of County growth over the past decade, it is assumed for this analysis to have no future residential capacity. Accordingly, Cherry Point’s calculated shares of population growth (33, 50, and 75 for low, middle, and high) were instead assigned to Bellingham.


- Allocated in this manner, Bellingham would add between roughly 16,000 and 36,000 new residents by 2045.
- Although its share of growth has declined over time, the Other/Rural category of non-UGA areas receives the next-highest allocation, with anticipated population growth ranging between approximately 7,000 and 16,000 by the planning horizon.
- Ferndale and Lynden are set to grow at a similar pace, adding between 4,000 and 11,000 residents each, followed by Blaine and Birch Bay, with expected middle scenario growth in the mid-2,000s.

These population allocations are considered “technical” as they are strictly modeled on recent past growth performance, rather than reflecting any specific differences in goals or policies across the jurisdictions. As such, they represent a starting point subject to refinement through discussion and negotiation among the County and cities as a next step in the process.

## Age Cohort Analysis

Age cohorts in Whatcom County and Washington State were analyzed to provide context for some of the broader population changes being projected by the Office of Financial Management. Figures 9 and 10 shows the age distributions by five-year increments in Washington State and Whatcom County for 2020 and as projected by the OFM for 2030 and 2040.

Figure 8: Age Distribution, Statewide and Whatcom County, 2020 and Projections to 2045



Source: OFM; Leland Consulting Group


To aid in tracking population shifts across age cohorts, another illustration of those same distributions is shown below in tabular form, with conditional formatting of cells to highlight population skews by

shades of green. This method helps to understand how the aging of the two major U.S. population “bulges” effects the population as they track with each passing decade.

The largest shares of total population statewide can be found among Millennials. In Whatcom County, a distinct third concentration can be seen for college age young adults.

In fact, in 2020, the age 20 to 24 bracket in Whatcom County briefly accounted for a double-digit share of Countywide population, as the prime college age overlapped with the “natural” Millennial bulge found in the non-college population.

**Figure 9: Statewide and Whatcom County Population Shares by Age, 2020 to 2040**



Source: OFM; Leland Consulting Group


Although the Millennial and Baby Boomer generational cohorts were similar in size at their peak, the population shares for Boomers have begun to increasingly tail off as members of that group begin to reach average life expectancies. That said, a sizeable portion of Whatcom County’s population is reaching empty-nest and/or retirement age, where housing needs for some can begin to favor lower-maintenance options in walkable neighborhoods, such as urban missing middle housing. Others will be attracted to the continuum of senior housing options, ranging from age-restricted active senior apartments to assisted living and nursing care facilities.

In 2022, Whatcom County’s population aged 15 to 34 was just over 67,000, while the combined population aged 60 and up was approximately 61,000. By 2030, those two age ranges will have evened out at just over 70,000 each.

## Countywide Employment Trends

Historically, annual employment growth in Whatcom County has closely tracked along with the state of Washington as a whole. In the past ten years, the County has grown at 1.5% annually, compared to 1.9% statewide, with the difference mainly owing to increased volatility at the local level. Over a twenty year span, the average growth rates roughly converge, with the County growing at 1.3% versus the state at 1.4% per year.

**Figure 10: County vs. State Historical Employment Growth Rates by Year, 1990 to 2022**




Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

The next graph tracks the County’s share of statewide employment growth over the same time period. Though there have been spikes, such as in 2003 and 2010, when the County briefly captured an outside share of growth, more recent years have settled into a more predictable pattern, with Whatcom County accounting for 2.6% of annual statewide job growth over the past 10 years.

Since 2000, Whatcom’s share of total statewide jobs (all standing employment, rather than just growth) has remained within a relatively narrow range, from just above 3.0% on the low end, to just over 3.4% on the high end. Currently, the County accounts for 3.1% of total statewide employment.


**Figure 10: Whatcom County Share of State Employment Growth, 1990 to 2022**



Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Employment by Industry

**Figure 11: Whatcom County Employment by Industry Sector, 2010 to 2021**



Source: U.S. Census Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) On the Map; and Leland Consulting Group  
 Note: LEHD industry sector grouping differ slightly from State of Washington ESD categories and have been adjusted to match.

The figure below summarizes overall Countywide job growth (or loss) by those same groupings, to highlight areas of substantial change. The largest single growth sector was Health Care Services, which

added over 4,100 jobs in the County in just over a decade. At the same time, the Government sector lost nearly 1,500 jobs. Much of the employment decline occurred during 2020 and 2021, suggesting that temporary factors related to COVID-19 may account for some of that job loss.

The past decade saw a boom in Construction in the County, with that sector adding almost 2,700 jobs from 2010 to 2021. That growth was largely due to a very strong multifamily housing market, along with substantial transportation and infrastructure construction projects. The construction sector is historically one of the most volatile and responsive to business cycles. The current boom only recently reached annual job levels seen during the late 2000s, during the last major upcycle.

Despite the trends in automation reducing the labor requirements in Manufacturing, that sector has remained a somewhat surprising category for recent employment strength and expansion. The 8,700 manufacturing jobs in 2021 is up nearly 1,000 jobs from the 2010 employment level (even after falling from a peak of 10,400 jobs in 2019). In fact this relatively healthy trend in manufacturing is based on data that does not yet include some recent facility additions in the alternative energy subsector in the Bellingham market.

**Figure 12: Whatcom County Historical Employment Growth by Sector, 2010 to 2021**

<b>Industry</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2021</b>	<b>Growth</b>	<b>Percent Change 2010-21</b>
<i>Resources &amp; Utilities</i>	2,829	3,343	514	18.2%
<i>Construction</i>	4,885	7,556	2,671	54.7%
<i>Manufacturing</i>	7,738	8,699	961	12.4%
<i>Wholesale Trade</i>	2,425	2,629	204	8.4%
<i>Retail Trade</i>	8,099	8,450	351	4.3%
<i>Transport &amp; Warehousing</i>	2,073	2,344	271	13.1%
<i>Health Care Svcs</i>	9,347	13,494	4,147	44.4%
<i>Accommodation &amp; Food Svc</i>	6,413	6,148	-265	-4.1%
<i>Other Services</i>	15,893	17,247	1,354	8.5%
<i>Government</i>	13,718	12,247	-1,471	-10.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>73,420</b>	<b>82,157</b>	<b>8,737</b>	<b>11.9%</b>


Source: U.S. Census LEHD On the Map; and Leland Consulting Group

## **Projecting Countywide Employment Growth**

Neither the Washington Employment Security Department (ESD) nor OFM generate long-range employment projections for Whatcom County specifically. Given this limitation, it is useful to examine the historical relationship between employment and population, which are typically correlated. The next exhibit shows recent trends in that ratio (referred to as the employment rate) for Whatcom County and Washington State.



**Figure 13: Employment Rate (Employees/Population), Whatcom County vs. State of Washington, 1990 to 2022**



Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, OFM; and Leland Consulting Group

As an example, in 2022, Whatcom County had a population of approximately 231,000 and total employment of approximately 109,000. The employment rate for that year was 47.4% (109,000 divided by 231,000).

Over time, this ratio has historically remained relatively stable, falling largely within a fairly narrow, plus or minus 1%, band around an average of 46.77 over the past ten years in Whatcom County. This predictable relationship between population and employment allows for reasonably reliable estimates of future employment based on our forecasts for County population.

**Figure 14: Projecting Future Employment Based on Employment Rate, 2023 to 2045**

	<b>Whatcom (low)</b>	<b>Whatcom (middle)</b>	<b>Whatcom (high)</b>
2023 population	235,800	235,800	235,800
2045 population (proj.)	<b>274,065</b>	<b>292,714</b>	<b>321,702</b>
<i>Assumed employment rate</i>	<i>46.77%</i>	<i>46.77%</i>	<i>46.77%</i>
2023 employment, est.	112,633	112,633	112,633
2045 employment, proj.	128,185	136,912	150,466
<b>Employment growth, 2023 to 2045</b>	<b>15,553</b>	<b>24,279</b>	<b>37,834</b>
<i>(including resource/ag industries)</i>			

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, OFM, Leland Consulting Group

Notes:

- 2023 Whatcom County total employment was not available at the time of this analysis. We estimate 2023 based on the 2022 total plus an additional year of growth (at the statewide job growth rate).

## Technical Allocation of Employment Growth to UGAs

Now that a low, middle, and high range of total employment growth has been established for Whatcom County, based on the historic ratio of employment to population (the employment rate), the next step is to allocate that Countywide growth across the County’s ten UGAs. That allocation applies the most current available (2021) distribution of jobs across industry types within those UGAs (and in the rural remainder of the County not included in any UGA).

To determine the proportion of Countywide employment accounted for by each UGA, we first obtained the most current geographic boundaries of each UGA in a GIS shapefile format. Those shapefiles could then be uploaded, one UGA at a time, to define selection areas within the U.S. Census’ LEHD-On the Map website to get estimated job counts across major industry sectors. Those LEHD results are returned using the 20 two-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code industry sector categories.

In order to be useful for planning purposes, the 20 NAICS industry sectors are combined into broad industry categories, using the categorization scheme below (as was used in the 2016 allocation methodology)

**Figure 15: Translation of NAICS Industry Codes into Broad Industry Type Categories**

<b>NAICS</b>	<b>Industry Sector</b>	<b>Broad Industry Type (for allocations)</b>
11	Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Hunting	<b>Resources</b>
21	Mining, Quarrying, & Oil & Gas Extraction	
22	Utilities	<b>Industrial</b>
23	Construction	
31-33	Manufacturing	
42	Wholesale Trade	
44-45	Transportation & Warehousing	
48-49	Retail Trade	
51	Information	<b>Comm'l</b>
52	Finance & Insurance	
53	Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	
54	Professional, Scientific, & Technical Services	
55	Management of Companies & Enterprises	
56	Administration & Support, Waste Mgmt	
61	Educational Services	
62	Health Care & Social Assistance	
71	Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	
71	Accommodation & Food Services	
81	Other Services (excl. Public Admin)	
92	Public Administration	

Source: Leland Consulting Group, using WCOG industry category definitions

The table below shows the share of existing jobs by those broad industry groupings for 2021, as found using the UGA boundary GIS layers uploaded individually to LEHD/On the Map for analysis. Running the same analysis for the County as a whole allowed for determination of the Other/Rural group lying outside any UGA boundary.

**Figure 16: Share of Current (2021) Employment by UGA and Broad Industry Type**

UGA	UGA Job Shares by Broad Industry Type				
	Resources	Industrial	Retail	Comm'l	Total
Bellingham	14.9%	44.5%	73.7%	71.4%	<b>62.5%</b>
Birch Bay	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%	<b>0.5%</b>
Blaine	0.4%	5.2%	1.9%	2.2%	<b>2.9%</b>
Cherry Point	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.3%	<b>2.0%</b>
Columbia Valley	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	<b>0.1%</b>
Everson	1.1%	1.4%	1.0%	0.6%	<b>0.8%</b>
Ferndale	2.0%	15.2%	8.0%	6.6%	<b>8.8%</b>
Lynden	2.1%	9.6%	7.3%	6.8%	<b>7.4%</b>
Nooksack	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	<b>0.3%</b>
Sumas	0.2%	1.5%	0.3%	0.3%	<b>0.6%</b>
Other/Rural	79.3%	14.6%	6.9%	10.8%	<b>14.0%</b>
<b>Whatcom Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<i>% of Total Whatcom Jobs by Industry Category</i>	3.8%	26.1%	10.3%	59.8%	100.0%

Those total job shares by broad category (3.8% Resources, 26.1% industrial, 10.3% retail, 59.8%, commercial) were applied to the low, middle, and high employment projections to arrive at Countywide employment totals by industry group. These totals were used for allocation to the UGAs.

Figure 17: Countywide Growth Totals by Broad Industry Group Across Low, Middle, and High Scenarios

	Scenario	Total Employment Growth to Allocate	Resources	Industrial	Retail	Comm'l
<i>% of Total Whatcom Jobs by Industry Category</i>			3.8%	26.1%	10.3%	59.8%
Total Proj. Growth 2023-2045	Low	15,553	594	4,057	1,600	9,302
	Middle	24,279	928	6,333	2,497	14,521
	High	37,834	1,446	9,869	3,891	22,627

For example, we estimate that **15,553** total jobs will be added in Whatcom County from 2023 to 2045 under the low growth scenario.

Applying the retail share of jobs (**10.3%**), we get **1,600** low scenario retail jobs for allocation across the County.

Referring back to Figure 18, we then apportion those 1,446 jobs to the various UGAs based on their historical share of retail jobs. The Bellingham UGA gets 73.7% of all County retail jobs, so in the low scenario, is allocated **1,180** retail jobs ( $73.7\% \times 1,600 = 1,180$ ) in the low scenario.

The final three tables below use this described method to allocate employment growth from the Countywide level to each of the constituent UGAs under each of the three growth scenarios.

Figure 18: 2023-2045 UGA Employment Growth Allocations by Industry, by Scenario

<b>Low Scenario</b>	<b>UGA</b>	<b>Resources</b>	<b>Industrial</b>	<b>Retail</b>	<b>Comm'l</b>	<b>Total</b>
	Bellingham	88	1,807	1,180	6,644	<b>9,719</b>
	Birch Bay	0	14	6	59	<b>80</b>
	Blaine	2	210	30	207	<b>449</b>
	Cherry Point	0	289	0	27	<b>316</b>
	Columbia Valley	0	4	2	3	<b>9</b>
	Everson	6	56	16	52	<b>130</b>
	Ferndale	12	615	129	616	<b>1,372</b>
	Lynden	13	388	117	635	<b>1,152</b>
	Nooksack	0	19	4	28	<b>51</b>
	Sumas	1	62	5	27	<b>96</b>
	Area outside UGAs	472	594	110	1,004	<b>2,180</b>
	<b>Whatcom Total</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>4,057</b>	<b>1,600</b>	<b>9,302</b>	<b>15,553</b>
<b>Middle Scenario</b>	<b>UGA</b>	<b>Resources</b>	<b>Industrial</b>	<b>Retail</b>	<b>Comm'l</b>	<b>Total</b>
	Bellingham	138	2,820	1,841	10,373	<b>15,172</b>
	Birch Bay	0	22	10	92	<b>124</b>
	Blaine	4	327	48	322	<b>701</b>
	Cherry Point	0	450	0	43	<b>493</b>
	Columbia Valley	0	6	3	4	<b>13</b>
	Everson	10	88	24	81	<b>203</b>
	Ferndale	19	961	201	961	<b>2,141</b>
	Lynden	20	606	183	991	<b>1,799</b>
	Nooksack	0	29	7	44	<b>79</b>
	Sumas	2	98	8	43	<b>150</b>
	Area outside UGAs	736	927	172	1,567	<b>3,403</b>
	<b>Whatcom Total</b>	<b>928</b>	<b>6,333</b>	<b>2,497</b>	<b>14,521</b>	<b>24,279</b>
<b>High Scenario</b>	<b>UGA</b>	<b>Resources</b>	<b>Industrial</b>	<b>Retail</b>	<b>Comm'l</b>	<b>Total</b>
	Bellingham	215	4,395	2,869	16,163	<b>23,642</b>
	Birch Bay	0	35	16	144	<b>194</b>
	Blaine	6	510	74	502	<b>1,092</b>
	Cherry Point	0	702	0	67	<b>769</b>
	Columbia Valley	0	9	5	7	<b>21</b>
	Everson	16	137	38	127	<b>317</b>
	Ferndale	29	1,497	313	1,498	<b>3,337</b>
	Lynden	31	944	285	1,544	<b>2,803</b>
	Nooksack	0	45	11	68	<b>124</b>
	Sumas	3	152	12	66	<b>233</b>
	Areas outside UGAs	1,147	1,445	268	2,442	<b>5,302</b>
	<b>Whatcom Total</b>	<b>1,446</b>	<b>9,869</b>	<b>3,891</b>	<b>22,627</b>	<b>37,834</b>

## Appendix: Population & Employment Allocations, Select Years

### Countywide Population & Employment Projections (select years)

<b>Population</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
low	<b>235,800</b>	236,799	249,210	256,903	<b>274,065</b>	38,265
middle	<b>235,800</b>	240,329	256,773	267,470	<b>292,714</b>	56,914
high	<b>235,800</b>	246,801	269,952	285,671	<b>321,702</b>	85,902

<b>Population Growth</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
low		500	1,887	1,945	1,695	38,265
middle		2,275	2,607	2,715	2,531	56,914
high		5,563	3,793	4,014	3,513	85,902

<b>Total Employment</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
low	<b>112,633</b>	110,755	116,560	120,158	<b>128,185</b>	15,553
middle	<b>112,633</b>	112,407	120,098	125,101	<b>136,912</b>	24,279
high	<b>112,633</b>	115,434	126,262	133,614	<b>150,466</b>	37,834

<b>Resources Employment</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
low	<b>4,305</b>	4,233	4,455	4,592	<b>4,899</b>	594
middle	<b>4,305</b>	4,296	4,590	4,781	<b>5,233</b>	928
high	<b>4,305</b>	4,412	4,826	5,107	<b>5,751</b>	1,446

<b>Industrial Employment</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
low	<b>29,381</b>	28,891	30,405	31,344	<b>33,438</b>	4,057
middle	<b>29,381</b>	29,322	31,328	32,633	<b>35,714</b>	6,333
high	<b>29,381</b>	30,111	32,936	34,854	<b>39,250</b>	9,869

<b>Retail Employment</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
low	<b>11,584</b>	11,391	11,988	12,359	<b>13,184</b>	1,600
middle	<b>11,584</b>	11,561	12,352	12,867	<b>14,082</b>	2,497
high	<b>11,584</b>	11,873	12,986	13,742	<b>15,476</b>	3,891

<b>Commercial Employment</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
low	<b>67,363</b>	66,240	69,712	71,864	<b>76,664</b>	9,302
middle	<b>67,363</b>	67,228	71,827	74,820	<b>81,883</b>	14,521
high	<b>67,363</b>	69,038	75,514	79,911	<b>89,990</b>	22,627

## UGA Population & Employment Projections (select years)

<b>Population - Middle</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
Bellingham	<b>105,529</b>	107,447	114,413	118,944	129,641	24,112
Birch Bay	<b>8,908</b>	9,092	9,760	10,194	11,220	2,313
Blaine	<b>6,728</b>	6,949	7,751	8,272	9,502	2,774
Cherry Point	<b>61</b>	65	80	89	111	50
Columbia Valley	<b>3,577</b>	3,656	3,942	4,127	4,566	989
Everson	<b>3,171</b>	3,245	3,514	3,690	4,104	933
Ferndale	<b>16,762</b>	17,340	19,438	20,803	24,025	7,263
Lynden	<b>16,696</b>	17,226	19,152	20,404	23,361	6,666
Nooksack	<b>1,573</b>	1,601	1,703	1,769	1,925	352
Sumas	<b>1,810</b>	1,865	2,067	2,198	2,507	697
Other/Rural	<b>70,985</b>	71,842	74,955	76,980	81,751	10,766*
<b>County Total</b>	<b>235,800</b>	<b>240,329</b>	<b>256,773</b>	<b>267,470</b>	<b>292,714</b>	<b>56,914</b>

<b>Total Employment - Middle</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
Bellingham	<b>70,384</b>	70,243	75,049	78,176	85,556	15,172
Birch Bay	<b>577</b>	576	615	641	702	124
Blaine	<b>3,251</b>	3,244	3,466	3,610	3,951	701
Cherry Point	<b>2,288</b>	2,284	2,440	2,541	2,781	493
Columbia Valley	<b>62</b>	62	66	69	75	13
Everson	<b>943</b>	941	1,006	1,048	1,147	203
Ferndale	<b>9,934</b>	9,914	10,592	11,034	12,075	2,141
Lynden	<b>8,345</b>	8,328	8,898	9,269	10,144	1,799
Nooksack	<b>369</b>	368	393	410	448	79
Sumas	<b>695</b>	694	741	772	845	150
Other/Rural	<b>15,785</b>	15,753	16,831	17,532	19,188	3,403
<b>County Total</b>	<b>112,633</b>	<b>112,407</b>	<b>120,098</b>	<b>125,101</b>	<b>136,912</b>	<b>24,279</b>

<b>Resource Jobs - Middle</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
Bellingham	<b>640</b>	639	683	711	778	138
Birch Bay	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0
Blaine	<b>16</b>	16	18	18	20	4
Cherry Point	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia Valley	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0
Everson	<b>47</b>	47	50	52	57	10
Ferndale	<b>86</b>	86	92	96	105	19
Lynden	<b>92</b>	92	98	102	112	20
Nooksack	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0
Sumas	<b>8</b>	8	9	9	10	2
Other/Rural	<b>3,415</b>	3,408	3,641	3,793	4,151	736
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4,305</b>	<b>4,296</b>	<b>4,590</b>	<b>4,781</b>	<b>5,233</b>	<b>928</b>

\* 2045 Rural/Other population (Middle) was manually reduced by 8 people to correct for a discrepancy across OFM source tables



## UGA Population & Employment Projections (select years), cont.

<b>Industrial Jobs - Middle</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
Bellingham	<b>13,083</b>	13,057	13,950	14,531	15,903	2,820
Birch Bay	<b>103</b>	103	110	114	125	22
Blaine	<b>1,518</b>	1,515	1,618	1,686	1,845	327
Cherry Point	<b>2,089</b>	2,085	2,228	2,321	2,540	450
Columbia Valley	<b>26</b>	26	28	29	32	6
Everson	<b>407</b>	406	434	452	495	88
Ferndale	<b>4,457</b>	4,448	4,752	4,950	5,418	961
Lynden	<b>2,809</b>	2,803	2,995	3,120	3,415	606
Nooksack	<b>134</b>	134	143	149	163	29
Sumas	<b>452</b>	452	482	502	550	98
Other/Rural	<b>4,302</b>	4,293	4,587	4,778	5,229	927
<b>County Total</b>	<b>29,381</b>	<b>29,322</b>	<b>31,328</b>	<b>32,633</b>	<b>35,714</b>	<b>6,333</b>

<b>Retail Jobs - Middle</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
Bellingham	<b>8,542</b>	8,525	9,109	9,488	<b>10,384</b>	1,841
Birch Bay	<b>47</b>	47	50	52	<b>57</b>	10
Blaine	<b>221</b>	220	235	245	<b>268</b>	48
Cherry Point	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	0
Columbia Valley	<b>15</b>	15	16	17	<b>18</b>	3
Everson	<b>112</b>	112	120	125	<b>137</b>	24
Ferndale	<b>931</b>	929	993	1,034	<b>1,132</b>	201
Lynden	<b>849</b>	847	905	943	<b>1,032</b>	183
Nooksack	<b>32</b>	31	34	35	<b>38</b>	7
Sumas	<b>37</b>	37	39	41	<b>45</b>	8
Other/Rural	<b>799</b>	798	852	888	<b>972</b>	172
<b>County Total</b>	<b>11,584</b>	<b>11,561</b>	<b>12,352</b>	<b>12,867</b>	<b>14,082</b>	<b>2,497</b>

<b>Comm'l Jobs - Middle</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2031</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>2045</b>	<i>2023 to 2045 Growth</i>
Bellingham	<b>48,119</b>	48,022	51,308	53,445	<b>58,491</b>	10,373
Birch Bay	<b>428</b>	427	456	475	<b>520</b>	92
Blaine	<b>1,496</b>	1,493	1,595	1,661	<b>1,818</b>	322
Cherry Point	<b>199</b>	198	212	221	<b>242</b>	43
Columbia Valley	<b>21</b>	21	22	23	<b>25</b>	4
Everson	<b>377</b>	376	402	419	<b>458</b>	81
Ferndale	<b>4,460</b>	4,451	4,755	4,953	<b>5,421</b>	961
Lynden	<b>4,595</b>	4,586	4,900	5,104	<b>5,586</b>	991
Nooksack	<b>203</b>	202	216	225	<b>247</b>	44
Sumas	<b>197</b>	197	211	219	<b>240</b>	43
Other/Rural	<b>7,269</b>	7,254	7,751	8,073	<b>8,836</b>	1,567
<b>County Total</b>	<b>67,363</b>	67,228	71,827	74,820	<b>81,883</b>	<b>14,521</b>

**Errata:**

- In the April, 2024 version of this report, page 24 included two tables of employment allocations to UGAs with incorrect data (*Total Employment – Middle* and *Resources Employment - Middle*). Those tables have been replaced as of the May 7, 2024 version.
- For earlier versions of this report, Figure 6 on page 10 included a Population 2013 “Total” that was incorrect. The total should be 206,021 instead of 207,937 (which was the 2014 total). That correction has been made as of the May 22, 2024 version. No allocations or others numbers in the report are affected.