

Supplemental Budget Request*Status:* Pending**Administrative Services****Facilities Management**

Suppl ID # 3515	Fund	Cost Center	Originator: Rob Ney
	Year 1 2021	Add'l FTE <input type="checkbox"/>	Priority 1

Name of Request: NW Annex Redevelopment**X****Department Head Signature (Required on Hard Copy Submission)****Date**

Costs:	Object	Object Description	Amount Requested
	7350	Buildings & Structures	\$5,100,000
	8301.332	Operating Transfer In	(\$1,685,000)
	8301.001	Operating Transfer In	(\$1,230,000)
	8301.001	Operating Transfer In	(\$500,000)
	8301.326	Operating Transfer In	(\$1,685,000)
	Request Total		\$0

1a. Description of request:

The existing Northwest Annex facility is over 100 years old and in dire need of replacing. It is not economically viable to invest in this building. The investment in a new facility is considerably more economically responsible.

Staff is requesting funding to begin the process of replacing the aging building. The initial effort is the Architectural and Engineering Phase, which is estimated at \$5.1 million. The seed money for this effort is a combination of several sources:

- 1) Sale of the Animal Control Building (\$500,000)
- 2) The sale of Forest Street ((\$1,230,000)
- 3) EDI (\$1,685,000)
- 4) REET 1 (\$1,685,000)

Staff is proposing the construction phase of Building 1 would be via a \$26 million bond. The construction bond is not part of this request.

1b. Primary customers:

All staff and the public that currently utilizes the existing Northwest Annex facility.

2. Problem to be solved:

Northwest Annex is beyond reasonable repair and substantial investment in this aging facility would not be prudent.

3a. Options / Advantages:

This is the only economically responsible option. Heavily investing in this building would not be fiscally responsible. With the early 90's alterations of the building (rendering the 2nd floor unusable), the County identified the building as a short term investment and not worthy of a full scale remodel.

Replacing the aging building with a newer building that has a 50 year life is a considerably more responsible economic decision.

3b. Cost savings:

Investing in a 100 year old building is not economically viable. Investing in a new facility, with considerably less maintenance, is a better strategy.

Supplemental Budget Request

Status: Pending

Administrative Services

Facilities Management

Suppl ID # 3515

Fund

Cost Center

Originator: Rob Ney

4a. Outcomes:

It is estimated that the Architectural and Engineering phase of the project will be complete by end of summer 2022.

4b. Measures:

If the bond is put in place and the Architectural, Engineering and archeological phases have been completed, construction will commence in fall of 2022.

A new building will be built in 2022-2023.

5a. Other Departments/Agencies:

The impacts could only be considered advantageous.

None

5b. Name the person in charge of implementation and what they are responsible for:

Rob Ney, Project & Operations Manager

6. Funding Source:

Proceeds from building sales, REET I and Public Utilities Improvement Funds