

Public Hearing and Work Session

1

- 1 Call to Order
- 2 The meeting was called to order by Whatcom County Planning Commission Chair, Kelvin
- 3 Barton at **6:31 p.m**.
- 4 Roll Call
- 5 Present: Kelvin Barton, Daniel Dahlquist, Atul Deshmane, Jim Hansen, Frank James,
- 6 Dominic Moceri, Scott Van Dalen
- 7 Absent: Julie Jefferson, Stephen Jackson
- 8 Staff Present: Lucas Clark, Aileen Kogut-Aguon, Kelly Chamberlain, Becky Boxx, Steve
- 9 Roberge

10 Department Update

- 11 Steve Roberge, Assistant Director of Planning and Development Services (PDS) explains
- that the annual business meeting will include electing a chair, vice chair and reviewing
- 13 the business rules and dockets. Roberge adds that the first half of the year will have
- discussions about the comprehensive plan which will include the public participation plan
- 15 component, land capacity methodology and population employment projections.
- 16 Roberge then speaks on other items such as bringing Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)
- 17 back into conversation with some additions and modifications. Big projects occurring are
- 18 ABC recycling and Ranch Quarry Mine. Roberge concluded the updates with the mention
- 19 of a new Natural Resource manager starting in mid-February.

20 Open Session Public Comment

- 21 Timestamp 6:36p
- 22 Dave Widner spoke on the SCJ Alliance contract review for the comprehensive plan. He
- 23 quotes task 18 on page 28 of the contract, "Their job is to avoid unintended incentives
- 24 to build outside of UGA's (Urban Growth Areas)". He states his concerns regarding the
- 25 Comp Plan that will be going for the next 20 years that will rely on research performed
- in 2012. He then moves on to Task 3 on page 15 section 3.1 of the SCJ contract states
- 27 "They will review the draft block and count the land to pass the analysis," Mr. Widner
- asked where is the analysis? He states his concern about the property that has a UGA
- 29 out North of Sunset.

30 Commissioner Comments

- 31 Commissioner Deshmane: Happy New Year. Welcome Frank to the commissioners
- 32 Approval of Meeting Minutes
- 33 Timestamp: 6:43p
- 34 **1Commissioner Van Dalen moved** to approve the meeting minutes from December
- 35 *14th*, *2023*.
- 36 Commissioner Deshmane seconded.
- 37 Roll Call Vote: Ayes-Barton, Dahlquist, Deshmane, Hansen, Moceri, Van Dalen;
- 38 Abstain-James; (Ayes-6; Nays-0; Abstain-1). The motion carried.



Public Hearing and Work Session

2

- 1 Topic Title: Proposed Amendment to WCC 20.40.150 (Agriculture
- 2 District) to allow Propane Distribution in Agriculture as a conditional use
- 3 Timestamp: 6:44p
- 4 Lucas Clark from Whatcom County Planning and Development Services provided a
- presentation on the details on the proposed amendment to allow propane distribution in
- 6 agriculture as a conditional use. Mr. Clark mentions that on October 10th, 2023, Council
- 7 amended the comp plan and zoning code docket to include a review of allowing propane
- 8 sales, reload, storage and distribution facilities as a conditional use in the AG
- 9 (agriculture) zone. He states that council tasked Planning Development Services to
- 10 preview the suggested code and provide recommendations. He continues to state that
- on December 13th 2023, the proposed amendment was presented to the Agricultural
- 12 Advisory Committee which voted to move the proposal forward to the Planning
- 13 Commission.
- 14 The key takeaway is that the intention is to protect lands and active agricultural use,
- 15 and only allow propane distribution on sites that have a compatible preexisting
- 16 infrastructure through the conditional use permitting (CUP). Mr. Clark continues to
- 17 explain that CUPs are a rigorous process for any applicant and that any permit for
- propane distribution will have to fit the nine conditional use criteria and the eight criteria
- 19 in the proposed code. The application process will then go through a process that
- 20 includes public notices and public hearings with the hearing examiner before it ultimately
- 21 gets approved or denied.
- 22 Mr. Clark adds that the proposed amendment is consistent with all of Whatcom County's
- 23 comprehensive plans, goals and policies. It discourages the conversion of productive
- 24 agricultural land. The intention, through the permitting process, is to confine propane
- sales and distribution to the preexisting infrastructure in pervious surfaces.
- 26 He concludes the presentation by explaining the letter that was sent to the
- 27 commissioners 2 hours prior to the meeting and mentions that PDS is not asking for the
- 28 Planning Commission to act on this right now and would like time to review the letter.
- 29 Commissioner Deshmane asked, "When did the County Council first act on pursuing this
- 30 code change and adding it to the docket?"
- 31 Lucas Clark answered, "The council added it to the docket on October 10th, 2023.
- 32 Commissioner Deshmane stated that it seems pretty fast for this to come to Planning
- 33 Commission. He then asked what the urgency is around this particular project and if
- 34 there is anything relevant to talk about from the history of propane distribution terminals
- and where are they located currently in Whatcom County.
- 36 Commissioner Barton welcomed a public attendee, Denver Vanderyacht to answer the
- 37 question. He states that the proposal is to focus on residential AG community.
- 38 Commissioner Hansen reiterates the question as to why this proposal is being brought
- 39 forward if staff needs to review it further first. Commissioner Hansen then comments on
- Vanderyacht using the term residential, stating his concerns that this proposal's intended
- 41 use may displace agriculture.
- 42 Commissioner Barton redirects the conversation back to the public hearing.



Public Hearing and Work Session

3

- 1 Commissioner Van Dalen comments on the letter that was mentioned, stating it does
- 2 not have effect on the proposal as it speaks on what staff has already presented.
- 3 Public Hearing and Work Session Regarding Proposed Amendment to WCC
- 4 20.40.150 (Agriculture District) to allow Propane Distribution in
- 5 Agriculture as a conditional use
- 6 Timestamp: 7:01p
- 7 Eddie Ury, the Climate and Energy Policy Manager at Resources, a local Bellingham based
- 8 nonprofit, provided public comment stating that the proposal raises some concerns in
- 9 the AG zone and where the propane distribution may be located.
- 10 Dannon Traxler provided public comment speaking on the letter that was submitted to
- 11 the Commission before the meeting and speaks on the fact that the AG committee moved
- 12 to pass this proposal. She recommended the Commissioners approve it and move it
- 13 forward to County Council.
- 14 Denver Vanderyacht provided public comment on the specific property their Vanderyacht
- 15 Propane hopes utilize, which is the old EPO Grain Mill. He states the company is not
- 16 trying to take over any preexisting AG land. Vanderyacht then states that the AG land
- 17 that is part of the proposed property will not be touched and can be owned by a farm.
- He then goes on to mention that the use of the property is to use it as a focal point to
- 19 reserve gallons to best support the AG community, allowing for less trucks in the county
- and having the propane be more localized.
- 21 Elli Harron provided public comment. She explains she is a member of AG committee
- and she voted "no" on this proposal because there was no information given as to where
- 23 the propane distribution sites are. She then states that there should not be a code
- 24 change in the AG community to allow this proposal to pass.
- 25 Timestamp: 7:13p
- 26 Propane Distribution Work Session
- Timestamp: 7:13p
- 28 Commissioner Deshmane asked, what is the quorum of the AG Committee?
- 29 Steve Roberge responded that the AG committee requires a majority vote
- 30 Commissioner Deshmane clarified the quorum for the AG committee. He also spoke on
- 31 the hearing and components of the proposed amendments. He asked why are the code
- 32 amendments being proposed so broad as it does not pertain to a particular site?
- 33 Steve Roberge responded to Commissioner Deshmane that staff are attempting to keep
- 34 the qualifications narrow.
- 35 Commissioner Deshmane commented that the proposal is not so narrow and could use
- 36 revisions.
- 37 Commissioner James clarified that the AG Committee had a quorum at their meeting
- 38 Commissioner Moceri asked about the letter that was sent out late and why staff is
- wanting to hold off on moving this forward.
- 40 Steve Roberge responded that the letter had information included that staff has not had
- 41 adequate time to review and they recommend allowing additional time for analysis.



Public Hearing and Work Session

4

- 1 Commissioner Van Dalen added that the property being proposed on is industrial and it
- 2 needs to be used as it has been run down for years with graffiti on the walls.
- 3 Commissioner James speaks on fully evaluating the proposal and the letters. He said
- 4 there is no rush in moving this forward. He states that he is in favor of delaying it
- 5 Commissioner Hansen agrees there is no rush to push this through. Sounds like there is
- 6 room for good arguments in regards to the location of the propane distribution proposal
- 7 Commissioner James asks, "Can we leave the AG land alone and just use the industrial
- 8 land of the property?"
- 9 Steve Roberge responds to Commissioner James that it is part of the intended code seen
- 10 in item 1 of the proposal under the uses stating, "The use shall not result in the
- 11 conversion of Agricultural Lands of Long-Term Commercial Significance or land in active
- 12 agricultural use."
- 13 Commissioner James asks if the land is agricultural land
- 14 Steve Roberge responds to Commissioner James that propane under this code would not
- 15 be allowed to infer fairly used agricultural land
- 16 Commissioner James reiterates the question, "Is there a way to not use the AG land, as
- 17 it seems to directly violate it."
- 18 Steve Roberge responds that the only part that would be allowed for use, is the non-
- 19 agricultural land of the property. He states that this provision would not allow the
- 20 company to further convert any part of the land that is within the AG zone
- 21 Commissioner Barton asks How can a proposal come to commission so fast, how does
- 22 this process work?
- 23 Steve Roberge responds that the Council is who put this on the docket. Usually there is
- an application process, but Council sent this one to the docket.
- 25 Commissioner Deshmane reiterates that rezones from the proposal can be more specific.
- He states that he wants staff to look at this with the current code changes and how can
- it be specific to this project.
- 28 Commissioner Moceri states that the commissioners are not changing code, so why is
- 29 this being proposed tonight.
- 30 Steve Roberge responds that this proposal is intended to avoid spot zoning.
- 31 Commissioner Hansen reiterates the ordinance zones covers three other zones.
- 32 Chairman Barton states, if your hand is not raised and not called on, do not speak.
- 33 Commissioner Deshmane stated that spot zoning is not good, but if there are exceptions
- made for specific locations like Cherry Point, does that violate the original intent of the
- 35 code.
- 36 Commissioner Van Dalen asked staff about the packet given about section 2. Why are
- 37 we doing this if it has already been approved by County Council.
- 38 Steve Roberge responded that Council approved inclusion of this proposal on the docket
- 39 Commissioner Barton speaks on the proposed action to delay this to the next meeting.
- 40 Timestamp: 7:45p
- 41 **2Commissioner James moved** to delay the decision to the future meeting by staff



31

Kelvin Barton, Chair

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE WHATCOM COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION January 25, 2024

Public Hearing and Work Session 5 1 Commissioner Deshmane seconded. 2 Roll Call Vote: Ayes-Deshmane, Hansen, James 3 Nays- Moceri, Barton, Dahlquist, Van Dalen; (Ayes-3; Nays-4; Abstain-0). The motion fails. 4 5 Timestamp: 7:46p 6 3Commissioner Moceri moved to move proposal forward to County Council 7 Commissioner Van Dalen seconded. 8 Commissioner Hansen: calls on Eddie Ury to speak on this proposal more 9 Public attendee Eddie Ury stated that what is being presented here is vague, undefined terms with no boundaries. There are opportunities here to take a closer look on this 10 project and how it can further benefit appropriate conditions. 11 12 Roll Call Vote: Ayes- Barton, Dahlquist, Moceri, Van Dalen 13 Nays- Hansen, Deshmane 14 Abstain- James (Ayes-4; Nays-2; Abstain-1). The motion fails. 15 Timestamp: 7:51p 16 4Commissioner Deshmane moved for staff to do some additional work and come 17 back at a later meeting in February Commissioner Dalquist seconded. 18 19 Roll Call Vote: Ayes-Barton, Dahlquist, Deshmane, Moceri 20 Nays- Van Dalen 21 Abstain- James, Hansen (Ayes-4; Nays-1; Abstain-2). The motion carried. 22 Timestamp: 7:54p 23 Commissioner Hansen mentions that he had contact with executive board twice about 24 the schools, advising them of school impact fees as per the 2007 ordinance. 25 Adjournment 26 Timestamp: 7:57p 27 The meeting was adjourned at 7:57 p.m. 28 Minutes prepared by Aileen Kogut-Aguon. 29 WHATCOM COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION ATTEST: Approved, but not yet signed 30

Aileen Kogut-Aguon, Coordinator